

CROSBY & OVERTON

Environmental Management Inc.

11791 Monarch Street • Garden Grove, California 92641 (714) 893-2468

SITE ASSESSMENT INVESTIGATION FOR

UNDERGROUND TANKS REMOVED

ΑT

DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT COMPANY C6 FACILITY 19503 SOUTH NORMANDIE AVENUE TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA

PREPARED BY:

CROSBY & OVERTON ENVIRONMENTAL

MANAGEMENT, INC.

11791 MONARCH STREET

GARDEN GROVE, CALIFORNIA 92641

FEBRUARY 8, 1988

PREPARED BY:

BRAD JOHNSTON GEOLOGIST

REVIEWED BY:

ROGER NIELSON SR. GEOLOGIST CA REG. #1801

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u> </u>		PAGE
INTRODUCTION .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1
TANK EXCAVATION	N SAMPLING	1
SITE ASSESSMENT	T ACTIVITIES	4
GEOLOGICAL ANA	LYSIS	6
RESULTS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7
conclusions .		9
RECOMMENDATION	s	9
FIGURES		
FIGURE 1 -	SITE PLAN	3
FIGURE 2 -	LOCATION MAP, TANK 27T	12
FIGURE 3 -	LOCATION MAP, TANK 28T	13
FIGURE 4 -	LOCATION MAP, TANK 31T	14
FIGURE 5 -	LOCATION MAP, TANK 32T	15
FIGURE 6 -	LOCATION MAP, TANK 33T	16
FIGURE 7 -	LOCATION MAP, TANK 37T	17
TABLES		
TABLE 1 -	LABORATORY RESULTS, TANK EXCAVATION SAMPLES	. 2
TABLE 2 -	LABORATORY RESULTS, DRILLING SAMPLES	11
APPENDICES		
APPENDIX A -	DRILLING LOGS	
APPENDIX B -	COMPLETE LABORATORY RESULTS	

INTRODUCTION

On September 22, 1987, Crosby & Overton Environmental Management, Inc. (C&O EMI) removed twelve (12) underground petroleum product storage tanks from the C6 Torrance facility of Douglas Aircraft Company (DAC) located at 19503 South Normandie Avenue. Soil analyses performed in conjunction with the tank removals had indicated the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in six of the twelve excavations. Inspector Franco of the Los Angeles City Fire Department (LACFD) issued a notice on October 26, 1987, requiring a site assessment. DAC subsequently retained C&O EMI to conduct this site assessment to determine the extent of petroleum hydrocarbons in the soil.

TANK EXCAVATION SAMPLING

As each tank was removed from its excavation, soil samples were taken from the bottom of each tank excavation as directed by Inspector Franco. Samples were placed in glass jars with teflon-lined caps and packed in ice for delivery to West Coast Analytical Services under proper chain of custody procedures.

These samples were analyzed for Fuel Hydrocarbons by modified EPA 8015, for Volatile Aromatic Hydrocarbons by EPA 8020 and for Total Lead by EPA 6020. Table 1 contains a summary of all laboratory results. Six of the tank excavations exhibited detectable levels of hydrocarbons, while the remaining six did not indicate the presence of petroleum hydrocarbons. Figure 1 shows the locations and capacities of the removed tanks where hydrocarbons were detected in the excavations.

TABLE 1
Summary of Laboratory Results from Tank Excavation Samples

	EPA 8015		E	EPA 802	0	EPA 6020
Tank number	Fuel Hydrocarbons (ppm)	В	T	X	E (ppm')	Total Lead (ppm)
27T	9800 Gasoline	1.1	24	310	25	302
28T	760 Gasoline	.6	.47	17	2.5	29
30T	ND	ND	.029	.001	.001	124
31T	3500 Gasoline	3.4	62	250	37	159
32T	400 Gasoline	.54	.6	24	3.1	16
33 T	2500 Gasoline	1.2	8.8	36	16	34
34T	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	7.4
35T	ND	ND	.001	.098	ND	7.2
36 T	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	8.2
37 T	2000 diesel	.74	.17	1.0	.41	6.5
24T \	EPA 8240 all compounds ND					
25T S	EFA 0240 all compounds ND					


Detection	Limit	8015	10ppm
Detection	Limit	8020	1ppb
Detection	Limit	6020	0.7ppm

B = benzene

T = toluene

X = xylene

E = ethyl benzene



SITE ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES

Upon receipt of Inspector Franco's request for a site assessment, a work plan was developed and submitted by DAC to LACFD on November 24, 1987. This work plan explained that tank excavations 27T, 28T, 31T, 32T, 33T and 37T were to be investigated by drilling one boring beside each excavation, slanted 20 degrees from vertical in order to pass beneath the former tank location. Drilling operations were commenced by C&O EMI on January 8 and were concluded on January 11, 1988.

<u>Field Work</u>. Details of each excavation and its associated borehole are shown in Figures 2 through 7. Tank excavations are labelled with the letter T and boring locations with the number 1.

Prior to rig move-on at each boring, each proposed boring location was hand tool excavated to a depth of approximately three feet. Two of the borings had to be moved slightly from the locations proposed in the workplan due to underground obstructions. Boring number 32-1 was moved into the bottom of tank excavation 32T and was drilled vertically to a depth of 20 feet, while boring 37-1 was moved onto the sloping edge of tank excavation 37T and was drilled at an angle approximately 10° from vertical to a depth of 25 feet.

Accessibility was limited around tank excavations 27T, 28T and especially restricted at 31T. A portable drilling rig was used to drill borings 27-1 and 28-1 to a depth of 25 feet, but the

doorway leading to tank excavation 31T was too small for access by the portable rig. As a result, drilling of boring 31-1 was accomplished using a hand operated auger with a 3" diameter sample sleeve driven as deep as possible to 8 feet below grade, or 2 feet below the bottom of backfill in native soil.

Boring 33-1 was drilled as proposed in the work plan to 20 feet.

Drilling operations were performed with a 6" diameter hollow stem continuous-flight auger for borings 32-1, 33-1 and 37-1. The indoor locations of borings 27-1 and 28-1 necessitated the use of a portable rig that used a 6" diameter solid stem continuous-flight auger. Soil samples were taken with a 2 1/2" ID split spoon sample tool driven 18" ahead of the auger bit at five foot intervals. As each boring was drilled, accessible surfaced cuttings were placed into 55 gallon drums and stored at each location for appropriate disposal.

Core samples and surfaced drill cuttings were analyzed on site for potential presence of hydrocarbons and geological information, whereupon the data was recorded on the drilling logs presented in Appendix A. Field detection of hydrocarbons was accomplished through the use of a Photo Ionization Detector (PID) as well as visual and olfactory inspection.

Laboratory Analysis. Immediately upon obtaining the core samples, the center section was trimmed, capped, sealed with tape and placed on ice in an ice chest. At the conclusion of daily drilling operations, selected core samples were transferred

following required chain of custody procedures to Chemical Research Laboratories for analysis.

A total of eleven (11) core samples out of twenty-three (23) taken (two from all borings except 31-1, for which only one bottom sample was chosen) were submitted for laboratory analysis based on data from PID measurements, odor and sampling depth.

All samples submitted to the laboratory were analyzed for Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons using EPA 8015 and benzene, toluene, xylene and ethylbenzene (BTXE) by EPA 8020. A summary of the laboratory analyses from the drilling program is presented in Table 2, and complete laboratory reports are contained in Appendix B.

GEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

Drilling data indicates that the site is immediately underlain by one to two feet of mixed sand, gravel and clay imported fill material. Backfill material in the tank excavations varied from well sorted coarse sand to sandy clays. Below this fill are natural sediments consisting of uniform silty, chalky and clayey fine-grained sands. There was very little local variation and permeabilities were generally poor with no significant avenues for vertical or horizontal migration of fluids.

The C6 site is approximately 50 feet above Mean Sea Level (MSL).

Groundwater elevation data collected on November 6, 1987 by

Woodward-Clyde Consultants indicates that the water table is over

21 feet below MSL. The depth to groundwater is therefore approximately 70 feet below grade.

RESULTS

The first tank excavation to be investigated was for 27T which held a 120 gallon gasoline tank, used as generator reserve fuel. Field observations during drilling of boring 27-1 indicated that hydrocarbon levels beneath this location decreased consistently with depth as indicated by PID readings of 230 ppm at 10 feet and zero at 25 feet. Laboratory analysis (see Table 2 and Appendix B) of the sample taken at 10 feet showed 310 ppm total hydrocarbons while the sample from 25 feet did not yield detectable levels of total hydrocarbons or BTXE.

Similar results were obtained for tank excavation 28T, which also held a 120 gallon generator reserve gasoline tank. Boring 28-1 exhibited field PID readings from a maximum of 220 ppm at 10 feet to 8 ppm at 25 feet. Laboratory analysis of samples at 10 feet and 25 feet showed 610 ppm and 1 ppm of total hydrocarbons, respectively.

Boring 32-1 was drilled beneath excavation 32T and exhibited a hydrocarbon concentration profile at shallower depths than those discussed above. The tank that existed in this location was also a 120 gallon gasoline reserve tank. The only positive field indication of hydrocarbons in this boring was a PID reading of 45 ppm at 10 feet. Laboratory analysis of the 10 foot sample showed 360 ppm total hydrocarbons and no detectable hydrocarbons at 20 feet.

Tank 33T was a generator reserve gasoline tank with a capacity of 80 gallons. The associated boring 33-1 showed no evidence of hydrocarbons during drilling operations. Laboratory analysis on samples from 10 feet and 20 feet also showed no detectable levels of hydrocarbons.

Tank 37T held approximately 130 gallons of reserve diesel fuel and was set near the top of an estimated ten feet of mixed sand and clay backfill. Drilling of boring 37-1 produced a sample of this backfill at 10 feet that showed 140 ppm of total hydrocarbons. Field observations indicated hydrocarbon levels decreased with depth below the 10 foot level. Laboratory analysis of the 25 foot sample showed no detectable levels of hydrocarbons.

Very limited accessibility at tank excavation 31T precluded the use of a motorized drill rig so a hand auger was driven as deep as possible into native soil in an attempt to establish a hydrocarbon concentration profile. Laboratory data from the tank excavation sampling program in conjunction with this boring data was used. The hand auger sample was taken 3 feet below the excavation bottom and 2 feet into native soil, and yielded a total hydrocarbon level of 1300 ppm as determined by laboratory analysis.

CONCLUSIONS

All of the borings drilled at the subject site (with the exception of 31-1 which was of limited depth) encountered no detectable hydrocarbons below a maximum depth of 25 feet. The relatively small maximum capacity of these tanks (130 gallons) minimized the amount of product that could have been released into the surrounding soil. Also, generally poor permeability of the native silty sands beneath the tanks restricted vertical migration of this product to a maximum of 25 feet. Horizontal migration has also been restricted by lack of permeable strata or fracture systems.

Boring 31-1 was not of sufficient depth to obtain a sample of soil with no detectable petroleum hydrocarbons. However, given that the sample taken from the bottom of the excavation showed 3500 ppm of total hydrocarbons and the auger sample 3 feet below that point showed 1300 ppm, it is assumed that the hydrocarbon concentration profile at this location is similar to those at the other 120 gallon tank sites.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Douglas Aircraft Company has chosen to use the December 1987 version of the Leaking Underground Fuel Tank (LUFT) Field Manual as a guideline for soil cleanup at this site. Based on the recommendations set forth in the LUFT Field Manual, DAC proposes to excavate the area beneath tanks 27T, 28T, 31T, 32T and 33T to a depth where Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) levels are below

100 ppm. Since tank 37T contained diesel fuel, the maximum allowable TPH level at that site will be 1000 ppm. Soil from these excavations will be disposed of appropriately and imported backfill material will be used to restore the excavations to surface grade.

TABLE 2
Summary of Laboratory Results from Drilling Samples

		EPA 8015			EPA 80	020	
Boring number	Depth	Fuel Hydrocarbons(ppm)	В	T	X	E	(ppm)
27-1	10'	310	2	15	15	130	
-	25 †	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
28-1	10'	610	0	66	50	315	
	25 ¹	1	ND	ND	ND	ND	
31-1	8'	1300	ND	25	16	150	
32-1	10'	360	2	1	4	41	
	20 *	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	•						
33-1	10'	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
	20 '	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	
37-1	10'	140	ND	ND	2	5	
	25 '	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	


Detection Limit 8015 1 ppm
Detection Limit 8020 0.1 ppm


B = benzene


T = toluene


X = xylene


E = ethyl benzene

















APPENDIX A
DRILLING LOGS

-								
BOF	ijNG	/W8	ELL R	UMBER		27 - 1	L	CROSBY AND OVERTON Environmental Management, Inc.
FRO	JEC	T	Doug.	las Airo	craf	<u>t</u>		11791 Monarch Street Garden Grove, California 92641
1.00	ATI	าท	C6 Fa	acility:	, To	rrai	nce	
DAT	F D!	RILL	ED 1	-8-88				TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 25'
SUR	FAC	E EI	LEVAT	ION				DEPTH TO WATER
CCE	CEN	· DI	A .				LEN	GTH SLOT SIZE
0 A C		DIA					LEN	GTH TYPE
DRII	LIN	G CC	MAAN	Y Inters	stat	e So	oils	DRILL: METHOD Portable Solid Stem Auger
DRII	LLE	₹	Marl	k .			······································	LOG BY Brad Johnston
				1	-			
EC	WE	LL VST	(PPM)	SAME	PLES	•	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION
20	_	131	€ .		(u	3	A O	(COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURES)
136	PIPE	ᆵ	5 C	JUNBER	TPE	aLow	GR	(COLOR, TEXTORE, STROOTORES)
			<u>u.</u>	<u> </u>	 -	<u>m</u>		
								Boring was slanted 20° from vertical and was started 3' from edge of excavation (surface grade)
								started 5 from edge of excavation (surface grade)
								·
								,
5_			0			<u>17</u>		Brn-dkbrn silty clay, mottled, sctrd f grn sd, sli
'			U			30		moist, malleable, poor perm, no structures, no odor.
1 4						37		
1 1	100000							
1 4								
10			230			37		Tan fine grn sand, sli silty, uniform, v. sli moist
17						41		fair perm, strong "sweet" odor.
1 1						50		
	ı							
	ł	- 1				_		
15		ı	170		1	9		Tan-brn f grn sd as above, sctrd tan silty clasts,
ل ا	1	ı				17 17		sctrd blk stn, p-f perm, less odor.
7			1					
						Ì	- 1	
-								
				İ			_	
						12		Brn-gray silty f grn sd, uniform, clayey in part,
20-	ļ		40			16	- 7	sli moist, p-f perm, v sli odor.

Tan-brn silty f grn sd as above, chalky, dry, poor perm, no odor.

TD 25'

						20.1		CROSBY AND OVERTON
	•		Ъ	U!!BER				Environmental Management, Inc. 11791 Monorch Street
		T		Facility				Garden Grove, California 92641
LOC	COL	N		1-8-88				TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 25'
SUR	CEN	L EL . DIA	EVAT	10N			LEN	
							I CM	TH TYPE
DRIL	LIN(3 CO	MPAN	Y Int	ers	tate	Soils	DRILL: METHOD Portable Solid Stem Auger
	LLER		Mark		-			LOG BY Brad Johnston
	1100	. T	-	1		-		
ιC	COL	ist	(PPM)	SAME	PLES	3	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION
にだい	111		િ C		Ĭ'n.	8	ادق	(COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURES)
ناڌ	717	길	019	NUMBER	TRE	BLOW	G	
\Box								Boring was slanted 20° from vertical and was started
-		į						4' from edge of excavation (surface grade)
_								· ·
5	i		0			13		Dk brn silty clay, sctrd f grn sd, moist, malleable,
						$\frac{\overline{22}}{29}$		poor perm, no odor.
4		1	İ				7 7	
-								
4	İ							
7		l				<u>13</u>		
10	l		220	3		27 50		Tan-brn fine grn sd, sli silty, uniform, sctrd blk pp stn, clayey in part, sli moist, cohesive, poor
4						٦	7	perm, strong odor.
4	}							•
4								
							- 4	
			210			$\frac{12}{10}$		Tan brn f grn sd as above, moist, poor-fair perm
15			210			18 25		strong odor.
4							- 7	
4]			- 1	
4								
1								
- 0			7.00			14		Tan brn f grn sd as above, sctrd blk, pp stn, sli
2 0			130			31 50		moist, less odor, poor perm.
4							_]	
\dashv							- 1	
4								
4								
2 5			8			60 90		Tan brn silty f grn sd, v. dnse, dry, poor perm no odor.

TD 25'

FRO- LOC DATI SURI SCRI CASI	JEC' ATIC E DI FAC EEN ING:	T ON RILLI E EL : DIA	Dougla C 6 Fa	CPOSEV	Tor	ranc	e LE	NGTH	CROSBY AND OVERTON Environmental Management, Inc. 11791 Monarch Street Garden Grove, California 92641 TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE B' DEPTH TO WATER SLOT SIZE TYPE DRILL: METHOD Hand Auger LOG BY Brad Johnston						
تا	SVINDEL F SOL						2 X X		DESCRIPTION	SOIL CLASSIFICATION					
にだい	PIPE	FILL	26 CE	IUINBER	TYPE	BLOW	GRA		(COLOR, TE	XTURE, STRUCTURES)					
			180					of exc	cavation (8' belo	grn sand, sli silty, moist,					

RTON			
CROSBY AND OVERTON Environmental Management, Inc. 11791 Monorch Street			
2 641			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
, ••			
1 *			
ION ·			
S)			
on at nse, silty t where			
ie stn,			
on ns t			

TD 20'

FRO. LOCA DATE SURS	JECT ATIO E DR FACE EEN: ING: LING	N_DIALLI	C6 Fa ED 1- EVATI		ft To	rran	nce LEN	CROSBY AND OVERTON Griving mental Management, Inc. 11791 Monorch Street Garden Grove, California 92641 TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE20' DEPTH TO WATER SLOT SIZE TYPE MI DRILL METHODHollow Stem Auger LOG BY Brad Johnston
	WEI CON	71.L	PID (PPM)	SAMP IUMBER	เม	1	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION (COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURES)
5 -			0			24 26 28		Boring was slanted 20° from vertical and was started 4' from edge of excavation (surface grade) Brn clayey silt, sctrd dk brn mineral stn, calcium carbonate veins, cohesive, sli moist, poor-fair perm, no odor.
10			0			7 18 2		Tan-brn fine grn sand, sli silty, sctrd borings lmm diam, sctrd organic particles, mod cohesive, moist, fair perm, no odor.
15_			0			20 23 28		Tan-brn f grn sd as above, moist, sctrd worm tubes and castings, moist, p-f perm, no odor.
20			0			18 20 23		Tan brn f grn sd as above, moist, no odor, poor perm TD 20'
				,				

BORIN	ig/WE	LL K	UMBER		37-	1	CROSBY AND OVERTON Environmental Management, Inc.
FROJE	CT	Dougl	as Airc	raft			11791 Monarch Street Garden Grove, California 92641
			cility,				251
							TOTAL DEPTH OF HOLE 25'
SURFA	ACE EL	EVAT	ION			1.51	GTH DEPTH TO WATER
SCREE	EN: DIA	٠				LEN	GTH TYPE
			🕶 Cros	hw 8	$\sim 0 \text{ m}$	erton	EMI DRUI : METHOD Hollow Stem Auger
DRILL	ING CC	John	Hale				LOG BY Brad Johnston
UNILL	.c.n-			-			
[\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	VELL	(PPM)	SAMP	LES	3	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION
	ONST	E		(u)	3	A Q	(COLOR, TEXTURE, STRUCTURES)
		Ord	IUIABER	ع :	aLow	8	(COLOR, TEXTORE, STROOTSRESS
 						, ,	Boring was slanted 20° from vertical and started on edge of excavation (1' below grade)
5 -		0		·	4 5 8		Mixed backfill material-blk heavy clay, cse sd and gravel. Asphalt and concrete pcs. Wet, gd perm, no odor.
10_		12			7 14 28		top spl: cse grn sand (backfill), dnse blk clay, wet, odor. btm spl: Tan-brn fine grn sand, sli silty, webbed gray stn, worm borings < lmm diam, prod sheen, diesel odor, moist.
- 15-		6			$\frac{12}{18}$, , ,	Tan-brn f grn sd, v sli silty, cohesive, moist, p-f perm, no structures or stn, diesel odor.
20-		0			17 25 28		Tan-brn f grn sd as above, scrtd iron oxide stn encrustrd borings, sli moist, v sli odor, pour perm.
25		0			$\frac{10}{16}$	 	Tan brn f grn sd as above, sli moist, no stn, no structures, no odor, poor perm.

TD 25'

APPENDIX B COMPLETE LABORATORY RESULTS



Chemical Research Laboratories, Inc.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DIVISION

7440 Lincoln Way ● Garden Grove, CA 92641 (714) 898-6370 ● FAX: (714) 891-5917 ● (800) LAB-1CRL

January 13, 1988

CROSBY & OVERTON EMI 11791 Monarch Garden Grove, CA 92641 ATTN: Brad Johnston ANALYSIS NO.: 800817-001/004 ANALYSES: EPA Method 8015,8020

DATE SAMPLED: 01/08/88

DATE SAMPLE REC'D: 01/08/88
PROJECT: DAC-Douglas Aircraft Co.

Enclosed with this letter is the report on the chemical and physical analyses on the samples from ANALYSIS NO: 800817-001/004 shown above.

The samples were received by CRL in a chilled state, intact, and with the chain-of-custody record attached.

Please note that ND() means not detected at the detection limit expressed within the parentheses.

REVIEWED AND APPROVED



Chemical Research Laboratories, Inc.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DIVISION
7440 Lincoln Way ● Garden Grove, CA 92641
(714) 898-6370 ● FAX: (714) 891-5917 ● (800) LAB-1CRL

LABORATORY REPORT

CROSBY & OVERTON EMI

11791 Monarch

Garden Grove, CA 92641

ATTN: Brad Johnston

ANALYSIS NO.: 800817-001/004

ANALYSES: EPA Method 8115,8020

DATE SAMPLED: 01/08/88
DATE SAMPLE REC'D: 01/08/88

DATE ANALYZED: 01/08/88

SAMPLE TYPE: Solid

PROJECT: DAC-Douglas Aircraft Co.

	BTX, EPA	METHOD 802	0, (mg/kg)	-	TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS
SAMPLE ID	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL <u>BENZENE</u>	TOTAL XYLENES	EPA METHOD 8015 (mg/kg)
27-1 10' Borings	2.	15.	15.	130.	310.
27-1 25' Borings	ND(0.1)	ND(0.1)	ND(0.1)	ND(0.1)	ND(1.)
28-1 10' Borings	ND(0.1)	66.	50.	315.	610.
28-1 25' Borings	ND(0.1)	ND(0.1)	ND(0.1)	ND(0.1)	1.

This report pertains only to the samples investigated and does not necessarily apply to other apparently identical or similar materials. This report is submitted for the exclusive use of the client to whom it is addressed. Any reproduction of this report or use of this Laboratory's name for advertising or publicity purposes without authorization is prohibited.

Relinquished by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by: Re		7440 Lincoln Way • Garden G 4) 898-6370 • FAX: (714) 891-591	7 • (800) LAB-10	CRL.	● SAI ● BAI ● L.A.	NTUHA NTA MARIA (ERSFIELD COUNTY BILE LAB				Date_	1-8-88 Pag	e(of	
ARDEN GROVE CA 97 6/1 PHONE NUMBER PAC POUGLAS PIRCRACT CD. SAMPLERS: (Signature) PHONE NUMBER DESCRIPTION DATE TIME SAMPLETYPE WATEL AIR COMP. Grab. SOIL 1 TOTAL IMPROVACEURS B.T. X 27-1 10 BORINGS REQUIRED ASSOIL 1 TOTAL IMPROVACEURS B.T. X 27-1 25 28-1 10 Received by: (Signature) Date/Time Received for Laboratory by: Dispatched by: (Signature) I hereby authorize the personance of the above indicated work.		•			PROJEC	CT MANAGI	ER						
PROJECT NAME PAC - POUGLAS ATRICANT CO. SAMPLE TYPE SOLID NO. OF TESTS NUMBER DESCRIPTION DATE TIME WATER AIR SOLID NO. OF TESTS NUMBER DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DATE TIME WATER AIR SOLID NO. OF TESTS NUMBER DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DATE TIME WATER AIR SOLID NO. OF TESTS NUMBER DESCRIPTION DE	· ·				B								
PAC - POUGLAS ATROMOTO DATE TIME SAMPLETYPE SAMPLETYPE CONTINES REQUIRED SAMPLE TYPE CONTINES REQUIRED SOIL TOTAL IMPROVEDING BLOOM BATTAL INTERPRETATION BLOOM BATTAL INTERPRETATION BATTAL INTERPRETATIO	GM	eden grove, ca o	17611			•		3.1/1	•				
PAC - POUGLAS ATROPACT CO. SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE TYPE SOLID NO. OF TESTS REQUIRED 27-1 D' BORNAS 1~8~88 SOIL TOTAL MURRANTS B,T X 27-1 25 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						<u> </u>		-246	<u> </u>				
SAMPLE NUMBER LOCATION DESCRIPTION DATE TIME WATER Comp. Grab. SOLID NO. OF CNTNRS REQUIRED SOLID TOTAL IMPRIMENS B.T.X 27-1 25 28-1 25 Relinquished by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Date/Time Received for Laboratory by: Dispatched by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by: Ihereby authorize the personance of the above indicated work.			10 10 m		SAMPLI	ERS: (Signa Pool	jur a))		$\overline{}$				
SAMPLE NUMBER DESCRIPTION REQUIRED SOIL TOTAL IMPROVINCEINS B_T_X DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION REQUIRED SOIL TOTAL IMPROVINCEINS DESCRIPTION DE	PAC	VOUGLAD ATKL	RAPT CO.	•	SA	MPLE TYP	_ 1 2 0/	Mars I	<u>~</u>		<u> </u>		
Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) CRL will store sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days at no charge. Storage after 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30 days is charged at 31 0 per month per sample. Disposal of sample for 30			DATE	TIME	WA	TER		SOLI	D				
Relinquished by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Date/Time Received for Laboratory by: I hereby authorize the personance of the above indicated work.	27-1 10	Rapvc C	1-0-09					401	,	1		10545	
Relinquished by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) Relinquished by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by: Received for Laboratory by: Received for Laboratory by: Received by: (Signature) Date/Time Received for Laboratory by: Received for Laboratory by: Received by: (Signature) Date/Time Received for Laboratory by: Received for Shipment:	1	purling >	1 1 8 60					301	-	1	TOTAL HYDRICACBINS	/ B, I, X,E.	
Received by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by: Date/Time Received for Laboratory by: I hereby authorize the pertonnance of the above indicated work.	27-1 25				-	<u> </u>				1	1		
Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by: Received for Laboratory by: I hereby authorize the performance of the above indicated work.	28-1 101								Ì	1	\		
Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by: Received by: R	28-1 25'	V	1					6	,				
Relinquished by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by:											Ψ_		
Relinquished by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by:				<u>_</u>					-				
Relinquished by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by:				·····									
Relinquished by: (Signature) Received for Laboratory by: Re									İ				
Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Date/Time Received for Laboratory by: Received for Laboratory by: Date/Time Received for Laboratory by: I hereby authorize the performance of the above indicated work.	Relinquished by:	(Signature)	Received by: (Si	gnature)								Date/Time	
1. Client retrieved by work 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Pelinguidhed by	(Signature)	Received by: (Sig	onature)		-	→ ple	is charge	ed at	10 per sample	Date/Time		
Received by Mobile Laboratory for field analysis: 3. Store for			1.000.100 57.10.	gilataroj			1. C	lient retri	ieved_			Date/Time	
Signature 1. Other by Date/Time	Relinquished by	: (Signature)	Received by Mo	bile Laborator	ry for field	analysis:						Date/Time	
Method of Shipment: I hereby authorize the performance of the above indicated work.	<u> </u>		(Signature)										
Method of Shipment: I hereby authorize the performance of the above indicated work.	Dispatched by:(S	Signature)	Date/	Time	Received	for Labora	tory by:		<u></u>	N	1	Date/Time	
									Yor	B	ulin	1/8/88 4:0	
2. DAY DIENARAUND CHINY OKY 50% added charge	Method of Shipn	nent:						[Ir	nereb	y authorize th	e performance of the above	indicated work.	
2. DAY DIENARAUND CHINY ON 50% added change	Special Instructi	ons:	LARD COPE	y ACL	P			_					
		TURNAROUND CIND	4 0K% 5	08 01	ded c	harae							
SOURCE: Adapted from U.S. EPA, 1985				VIO WY		0					_	CCR-100	

BOE-C6-0079170



Chemical Research Laboratories, Inc.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DIVISION
7440 Lincoln Way ● Garden Grove, CA 92641
(714) 898-6370 ● FAX: (714) 891-5917 ● (800) LAB-1CRL

January 14, 1988

CROSBY AND OVERTON 11791 Monarch Street Garden Grove, CA 92641 ATTN: Keith Kishishita ANALYSIS NO.: 801112-001/007 ANALYSES: EPA Method 8015/8020

DATE SAMPLED: 1/11/88
DATE SAMPLE REC'D: 1/11/88
PROJECT: Douglas Aircraft-DAC

Enclosed with this letter is the report on the chemical and physical analyses on the samples from ANALYSIS NO: 801112-001/007 shown above.

The samples were received by CRL in a chilled state, intact, and with the chain-of-custody record attached.

Please note that ND() means not detected at the detection limit expressed within the parentheses.

REVIEWED AND APPROVED



Chemical Research Laboratories, Inc.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DIVISION

7440 Lincoln Way ● Garden Grove, CA 92641 (714) 898-6370 ● FAX: (714) 891-5917 ● (800) LAB-1CRL

LABORATORY REPORT

CROSBY AND OVERTON 11791 Monarch Street Garden Grove, CA 92641 ATTN: Keith Kishishita ANALYSIS NO.: 801112-001/007 ANALYSES: EPA Method 8015/8020

DATE SAMPLED: 1/11/88

DATE SAMPLE REC'D: 1/11/88

DATE ANALYZED: 1/11/88

SAMPLE TYPE: Solid

PROJECT: Douglas Aircraft-DAC

	BTX, EPA ME	TOTAL PETROLEUM			
SAMPLE NUMBER	BENZENE	TOLUENE	ETHYL BENZENE	TOTAL XYLENES	HYROCARBONS EPA METHOD 8015 (mg/kg)
31-T 8' TK. 31T 32-T 10' TK. 32T 32-T 20' TK. 32T 33-T 10' TK. 33T 33-T 20' TK. 33T 37-T 10' TK. 37T 37-T 25' TK. 37T	ND(.1) 2. ND(.1) ND(.1) ND(.1) ND(.1) ND(.1) ND(.1)	25. 1. ND(.1) ND(.1) ND(.1) ND(.1) ND(.1)	16. 4. ND(.1) ND(.1) ND(.1) 2. ND(.1)	150. 41. ND(.1) ND(.1) ND(.1) 5. ND(.1)	1,300. 360. ND(1.) ND(1.) ND(1.) 140. ND(1.)

7440 Lincoln Way ● Garden Grove, CA 92641 (714) 898-6370 ● FAX: (714) 891-5917 ● (800) LAB-1CRL			SANTA MARIA BAKERSFIELD L.A. COUNTY				Date	1-11-88	Page		f	
CLIENT CROSBY & OVERTON EMI				MOBILE LAB						:		
ADDRESS 11791 MONARCH ST			PROJEC	PROJECT MANAGER BRAD JOHNSTON							:	
GARDEN GROVE 92641				PHONE NUMBER								
PURCHASE ORDER # 53194				(714) 893-2468								
PROJECT NAME				SAMPLERS: (Signature)								
POUGLAS AIRCRAFT - DAC				Read Shuth								
SAMPLE NUMBER	LOCATION DESCRIPTION	DATE	TIME		MPLE TY TER Grab.	AIR	SOLID	NO. OF CNTNRS		TESTS REQUIRE		
31-T 8'	TANK 31T	1-11		Jompo			SOIL	l	8015 TOTAL PETRO HCS / BTXE		TXE	
32-1 10 ¹	TANK 32T						1	1		١		
32-1 20'	11							(
33-1 10	TANK33T											
33-1 20'	· · ·							(_		<u>-</u>
37-1 10'	TANK37T							1	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	J,		
37-1 25	Ц	↓					↓	l t		V	`. 	
Relinquished by	r: (Signature)	Received by: (Signature)				l dav	s is charged.	at \$10 per mont	s at no charge. Storage n per sample. Disposa	l of sam-	Date/	Time
Relinquished by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature)			tion of your samp				ple.	e. Please indicate the		Date	Time	
								by				
Relinquished by: (Signature) Received by Mobile Laborator (Signature)			ory for field analysis: 3. Store for				days. by by		Date/	Time		
Dispatched by:(Signature) Date/Time			Received	Received for Laborator by:					•	/Date/	Time <i>4:551</i>	
Method of Ship	ment:				//	J -	I here	by authorize th	ne performance of th	e above i	ndicated wo	ork.
Special Institution	`	1		1000								
SOURCE: Adapted	Y TYRN AROUND T I from U.S. EPA, 1985	IME - HAR)COTY 1	15AP				····				CCR-100